



UNIVERSITAS ISLAM SUMATERA UTARA

2nd ANNUAL INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE



Organized by Fakultas Sastra, Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia
Jln. Sisingamangaraja Teladan Medan, Phone: 061-786991, 081260449749, 081269649443
Website: www.aicll2019.sastra.uisu.ac.id E-mail: aicll@sastra.uisu.ac.id

REVIEWER'S VALUATION REPORT OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Reviewer's Initial	JS		
Title of The Manuscript	Verbal and Nonverbal Factors Influencing the Success of <i>Da'wah</i> Communication by Ustadz Abdul Somad		
Name of the Author(s)	1. Waizul Qarni ¹ , 2. Mhd. Syahnan ² , 3. Isnaini Harahap ³ , 4. Sahkhold Nasution ⁴ , 5. Rahmah Fithriani ⁵		
Evaluation Criteria	Yes	Partially	No
ARTICLE: Are the concerns in this article important to the field of language, literature or language teaching?	v		
TITLE: Is the title clear and appropriate for the content of the manuscript?	v		
ABSTRACT: Does the abstract represent the article clearly and effectively, and give insight to the research?	v		
INTRODUCTION: Does the introduction provide meaningful purpose(s) to the manuscript?	v		
LITERATURE REVIEW: Is the literature review appropriate and adequate?	v		
METHODOLOGY: Is the research method set systematically? Are the techniques used appropriate for the collection and analysis of the data?	v v		
FINDINGS/RESULTS: Is (Are) The finding(s)/result(s) exposed clearly? Are the tables and figures, if any, arranged and explained appropriately?	v v		
DISCUSSION: Is the discussion clearly as well as deeply conducted?	v		
CONCLUSION: Are the conclusions and generalizations based on the findings?	v		
REFERENCES: Are the references current and sufficient? Does the manuscript follow the APA (6 th Ed., 2009) citations and style guide?	v v		
GRAMMAR AND COHESION: Is the language clear and understandable? Is cohesion achieved throughout the article?	v v		
FORMAT: Does the format of manuscript follow AICLL UISU 2019 submission guidelines?	v		
DECISION FROM REVIEWER (tick where appropriate):			
Accepted without revision			
Accepted with minor revision	v		
Accepted with major revision			
Rejected due to poor quality			
Rejected due to unrelated to the conference fields.			
Rejected due to ethical issues			
REVIEWER'S COMMENTS (if any): Quite relevant to the theme of the 2 nd AICLL 2019.			

